#### SC4/SM8 Advanced Topics in Statistical Machine Learning Kernel Methods

#### Dino Sejdinovic Department of Statistics Oxford

#### Slides and other materials available at:

http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~sejdinov/atsml19/



maximize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_j y_j x_i^{\top} x_j$$
,

subject to the constraints

$$0 \le \alpha_i \le C, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \alpha_i = 0$$

From  $\alpha$ , obtain the hyperplane with

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i.$$

Offset *b* can be obtained from any of the margin SVs (for which  $\alpha_i \in (0, C)$ ):  $1 = y_i (w^{\top} x_i + b)$ .

#### **Dual form and Inner Products**

We have stumbled across something quite interesting. Dual program

$$\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{j} \qquad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0\\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq C \end{cases}$$

only depends on inputs  $x_i$  through their inner products (similarities) with other inputs. Decision function

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(w^{\top}x + b) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i^{\top} x + b)$$

also depends only on the similarity of a test point *x* to the training points  $x_i$ . Thus, we do not need explicit inputs - just their pairwise similarities. Key property: even if p > n, it is still the case that  $w \in \text{span} \{x_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$  (normal vector of the hyperplane lives in the subspace spanned by the datapoints).

Department of Statistics, Oxford

### **Beyond Linear Classifiers**



- No linear classifier separates red from blue.
- Linear separation after mapping to a higher dimensional feature space:

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \ni \left(\begin{array}{cc} x^{(1)} & x^{(2)} \end{array}\right)^\top = x \quad \mapsto \quad \varphi(x) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x^{(1)} & x^{(2)} & x^{(1)}x^{(2)} \end{array}\right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

#### Non-Linear SVM

- Consider the dual C-SVM with explicit non-linear transformation  $x \mapsto \varphi(x)$ :
- $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \varphi(x_i)^\top \varphi(x_j) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0\\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq C \end{cases}$  Suppose p = 2, and we would like to introduce quadratic non-linearities,

$$\varphi(x) = \left(1, \sqrt{2}x^{(1)}, \sqrt{2}x^{(2)}, \sqrt{2}x^{(1)}x^{(2)}, \left(x^{(1)}\right)^2, \left(x^{(2)}\right)^2\right)^\top.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_i)^{\top}\varphi(x_j) &= 1 + 2x_i^{(1)}x_j^{(1)} + 2x_i^{(2)}x_j^{(2)} + 2x_i^{(1)}x_i^{(2)}x_j^{(1)}x_j^{(2)} \\ &+ \left(x_i^{(1)}\right)^2 \left(x_j^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(x_i^{(2)}\right)^2 \left(x_j^{(2)}\right)^2 = (1 + x_i^{\top}x_j)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Since only inner products are needed, non-linear transform need not be computed explicitly - inner product between features can be a simple function (kernel) of  $x_i$  and  $x_i$ :  $k(x_i, x_i) = \varphi(x_i)^\top \varphi(x_i) = (1 + x_i^\top x_i)^2$
- *d*-order interactions can be implemented by  $k(x_i, x_i) = (1 + x_i^{\top} x_i)^d$ (polynomial kernel). Never need to compute explicit feature expansion of dimension  $\binom{p+d}{d}$  where this inner product happens!

Department of Statistics. Oxford

#### Kernel SVM: Kernel trick

Kernel SVM with k(x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub>). Non-linear transformation x → φ(x) still present, but implicit (coordinates of the vector φ(x) are never computed).

$$\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} k(x_{i}, x_{j}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0\\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq C \end{cases}$$

- Prediction?  $f(x) = \text{sign}(w^{\top}\varphi(x) + b)$ , where  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \varphi(x_i)$  and offset *b* obtained from a margin support vector  $x_j$  with  $\alpha_j \in (0, C)$ .
  - No need to compute w either! Just need

$$w^{\top}\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \varphi(x_i)^{\top} \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i k(x_i, x).$$

Get offset from

$$b = y_j - w^{\top} \varphi(x_j) = y_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i k(x_i, x_j)$$

for any margin support-vector  $x_j$  ( $\alpha_j \in (0, C)$ ).

 Fitted a separating hyperplane in a high-dimensional feature space without ever mapping explicitly to that space.

Department of Statistics, Oxford

- In a learning algorithm, if only inner products x<sub>i</sub><sup>T</sup>x<sub>j</sub> are explicitly used, rather than data items x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub> directly, we can replace them with a kernel function k(x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub>) = ⟨φ(x<sub>i</sub>), φ(x<sub>j</sub>)⟩, where φ(x) could be **nonlinear**, highand potentially infinite-dimensional features of the original data.
  - Kernel ridge regression
  - Kernel logistic regression
  - Kernel PCA, CCA, ICA
  - Kernel K-means

# Kernel Methods and Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

slides based on Arthur Gretton's Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in Machine Learning course

### Kernel: an inner product between feature maps

**Definition (kernel)** 

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a non-empty set. A function  $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a **kernel** if there exists a **Hilbert space** and a map  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

 $k(x,x') := \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \,.$ 

- Almost no conditions on  $\mathcal{X}$  (eg,  $\mathcal{X}$  itself need not have an inner product, e.g., documents).
- Think of kernel as a similarity measure between features

What are some simple kernels? E.g., for text documents? For images?

• A single kernel can correspond to multiple sets of underlying features.

$$\varphi_1(x) = x$$
 and  $\varphi_2(x) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x/\sqrt{2} & x/\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right)^{\top}$ 

### Positive semidefinite functions

If we are given a "measure of similarity" with two arguments, k(x, x'), how can we determine if it is a valid kernel?

- Find a feature map?
  - Sometimes not obvious (especially if the feature vector is infinite dimensional)
- A simpler direct property of the function: positive semidefiniteness.

### Positive semidefinite functions

Definition (Positive semidefinite functions)

A symmetric function  $\kappa$  :  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is positive semidefinite if  $\forall n \geq 1, \forall (a_1, \ldots a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ ,

 $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \kappa(x_i, x_j) \ge 0.$ 

Kernel k(x, y) := ⟨φ(x), φ(y)⟩<sub>H</sub> for a Hilbert space H is positive semidefinite.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i a_j k(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle a_i \varphi(x_i), a_j \varphi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \varphi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \ge 0.$$

### Positive semidefinite functions are kernels

#### Moore-Aronszajn Theorem

Every positive semidefinite function is a kernel for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*H* is usually thought of as a space of functions (Reproducing kernel Hilbert space - RKHS)

Gaussian RBF kernel  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \|x - x'\|^2\right)$  has an infinitedimensional  $\mathcal{H}$  with elements  $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i k(x_i, x)$  and their pointwise limits.



### Reproducing kernel

#### Definition (Reproducing kernel)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space of functions  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on a non-empty set  $\mathcal{X}$ . A function  $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called **a reproducing kernel** of  $\mathcal{H}$  if it satisfies

• 
$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, k_x = k(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H},$$

•  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \langle f, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f(x)$  (the reproducing property).

In particular, for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $k(x, y) = \langle k(\cdot, y), k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle k(\cdot, x), k(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Can forget all about  $\varphi(x)$  and just treat  $k(\cdot, x)$  as a feature of x (it is a perfectly valid Hilbert-space valued feature)!

#### **RKHS**

#### Definition (Reproducing kernel Hilbert space)

A Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of functions  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined on a non-empty set  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) if evaluation functionals  $\delta_x : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta_x f = f(x)$  are continuous  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

#### Theorem (Norm convergence implies pointwise convergence)

If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = f(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

- If two functions *f*, *g* ∈ *H* are close in the norm of *H*, then *f*(*x*) and *g*(*x*) are close for all *x* ∈ *X*
- This is a property of particularly "nice" functional spaces. For example, does not hold on spaces endowed with L<sub>2</sub> norm: x<sup>n</sup> on [0, 1] converges to 0 in L<sub>2</sub> but not pointwise.

#### Back to SVMs

**Maximum margin classifier in RKHS:** Looking for a decision function of form sign(f(x)) where  $f \in \mathcal{H}_k$ . Because we are in an RKHS,  $f(x) = \langle f, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k}$ .

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - y_i \left\langle f, k(\cdot, x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k} \right)_+ \right)$$

for the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$  with kernel k(x, x'). Maximizing the margin equivalent to minimizing  $||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ : for many RKHSs a smoothness constraint on function f (more about this later).

Why can we solve this infinite-dimensional optimization problem? Because we know that  $f \in \text{span} \{k(\cdot, x_i) : i = 1, ..., n\}$  – Representer Theorem.

# **Representer Theorem**

#### Representer theorem

Standard supervised learning setup: we are given a set of paired observations  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ . Goal: find the function  $f^*$  in the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$  which solves the regularized empirical risk minimization problem.

 $\min_{f\in\mathcal{H}}\hat{R}(f)+\Omega\left(\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right),$ 

where empirical risk is

$$\hat{R}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(y_i, f(x_i), x_i),$$

and  $\Omega$  is a non-decreasing function.

- Classification: *L* could be a hinge loss  $L(y, f(x), x) = (1 yf(x))_+$  or a logistic loss  $L(y, f(x), x) = \log (1 + \exp(-yf(x)))$ .
- Regression:  $L(y, f(x), x) = (y f(x))^2$ .

#### **Representer theorem**

#### Theorem (Representer Theorem)

There is a solution to

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{R}(f) + \Omega\left( \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)$$

that takes the form

$$f^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i).$$

If  $\Omega$  is strictly increasing, all solutions have this form.

#### Representer theorem: proof

**Proof:** Denote  $f_s$  projection of f onto the subspace

span { $k(\cdot, x_i)$  : i = 1, ..., n}

such that

$$f=f_s+f_{\perp},$$

where  $f_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i)$  and  $f_{\perp}$  is orthogonal to span  $\{k(\cdot, x_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Regularizer:  $||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = ||f_s||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + ||f_{\perp}||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \ge ||f_s||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ then

$$\Omega\left(\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right) \geq \Omega\left(\left\|f_{s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right).$$

#### Representer theorem: proof

**Proof (cont.):** Individual terms  $f(x_i)$  in the loss:

$$f(x_i) = \langle f, k(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f_s + f_{\perp}, k(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f_s, k(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

SO

$$L(y_i, f(x_i), x_i) = L(y_i, f_s(x_i), x_i) \forall i \implies \hat{R}(f) = \hat{R}(f_s).$$

Hence

- The empirical risk only depends on the components of *f* lying in the subspace spanned by canonical features.
- Regularizer  $\Omega(\ldots)$  is minimized when  $f = f_s$ .
- If  $\Omega$  is strictly non-decreasing, then  $||f_{\perp}||_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$  is required at the minimum.

# Kernel Ridge Regression

### **Regularised Least Squares**

We are given *n* training points  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ : Define some  $\lambda > 0$ . Our goal is:

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w\in\mathbb{R}^p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^\top w)^2 + \lambda ||w||^2 \right)$$
$$= \arg\min_{w\in\mathbb{R}^p} \left( ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}w||^2 + \lambda ||w||^2 \right),$$

Solution is:

$$w^* = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y},$$

which is the standard regularised least squares solution.

### Kernel ridge regression

Use features  $\phi(x_i)$  in the place of  $x_i$ :

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})^2 + \lambda \|w\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right).$$

E.g. for finite dimensional feature spaces,

$$\phi_p(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^\ell \end{bmatrix} \qquad \phi_s(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(x) \\ \cos(x) \\ \sin(2x) \\ \vdots \\ \cos\left(\frac{\ell}{2}x\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

In finite dimensions, *w* is a vector of length  $\ell$  giving weight to each of these features so that learned function is  $f_w(x) = w^\top \phi(x)$ . Feature vectors can also have **infinite** length.

### Kernel ridge regression

Recall that feature maps  $\phi$  and feature spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  are not unique, but RKHS  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is. Thus, we can identify w with the function  $f_w$  (there is an isometry between w and  $f_w$ :  $||w||_{\mathcal{H}} = ||f_w||_{\mathcal{H}_k}$  regardless of the choice of the feature space  $\mathcal{H}$ ) and write

$$f^* = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \langle f, k(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 \right)$$
$$= \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 \right).$$

### Kernel ridge regression

Recall the representer theorem: f is a linear combination of feature space mappings of data points

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i).$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \langle f, k(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k})^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{K}\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \alpha^\top \mathbf{K}\alpha$$
$$= \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{K}\alpha + \alpha^\top (\mathbf{K}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{K}) \alpha$$

Differentiating wrt  $\alpha$  and setting this to zero, we get

$$\alpha^* = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda I_n)^{-1} y.$$

Recall:  $\frac{\partial \alpha^\top U \alpha}{\partial \alpha} = (U + U^\top) \alpha$ ,  $\frac{\partial v^\top \alpha}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial \alpha^\top v}{\partial \alpha} = v$ 

### Parameter selection for KRR

Given the objective

$$f^* = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 \right).$$

How do we choose

- The regularization parameter λ?
- The kernel parameter: for Gaussian kernel,  $\sigma$  in

$$k(x,y) = \exp\left(\frac{-\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma}\right).$$

Beware: Gaussian kernel has many different parametrisations in the literature and software packages! Typically use cross-validation.

#### Choice of $\lambda$



#### Choice of $\sigma$



# Kernel families and operations with kernels

#### Examples of kernels

- Linear:  $k(x, x') = x^{\top}x'$ .
- Polynomial:  $k(x, x') = (c + x^{\top} x')^m, c \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$
- Periodic (1d):  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{2\sin^2(\pi |x-x'|/p)}{\gamma^2}\right)$ , period  $p, \gamma > 0$ .
- Exponential:  $k(x, x') = \exp(\frac{x^{\top} x'}{\gamma}), \gamma > 0.$
- Gaussian RBF:  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} ||x x'||^2\right), \gamma > 0.$
- Laplace:  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} ||x x'||\right), \gamma > 0.$
- Rational quadratic:  $k(x, x') = \left(1 + \frac{\|x-x'\|^2}{2\alpha\gamma^2}\right)^{-\alpha}, \alpha, \gamma > 0.$
- Brownian covariance:  $k(x, x') = \frac{1}{2} (||x||^{\gamma} + ||x'||^{\gamma} ||x x'||^{\gamma}), \gamma \in [0, 2].$

all norms are 2-norms unless specified otherwise

#### Matérn Family

$$k(x,x') = \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\gamma} \|x - x'\| \right)^{\nu} K_{\nu} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\gamma} \|x - x'\| \right), \quad \nu > 0, \gamma > 0,$$

where  $K_{\nu}$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $\nu$ .

• 
$$\nu = 1/2$$
:  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} ||x - x'||\right)$   
•  $\nu = 3/2$ :  $k(x, x') = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\gamma} ||x - x'||\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\gamma} ||x - x'||\right)$   
•  $\nu = 5/2$ :  $k(x, x') = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\gamma} ||x - x'|| + \frac{5}{3\gamma^2} ||x - x'||^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\gamma} ||x - x'||\right)$   
• as  $\nu \to \infty$ , converges to Gaussian RBF  $k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} ||x - x'||^2\right)$   
Matérn family norms penalize the derivatives of *f*. In particular, for  
 $\nu = s + 1/2$ , it penalizes the derivatives up to order  $s + 1$ , e.g. for  $\nu = 3/2$  and in one dimension:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 \propto \int f''(x)^2 dx + \frac{6}{\gamma^2} \int f'(x)^2 dx + \frac{9}{\gamma^4} \int f(x)^2 dx$$

N ν

#### New kernels from old: sums, transformations

The great majority of useful kernels are built from simpler kernels.

#### Lemma (Sums of kernels are kernels)

Given  $\alpha > 0$  and k,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  all kernels on  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\alpha k$  and  $k_1 + k_2$  are kernels on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

To prove this, just check inner product definition (features get scaled with  $\sqrt{\alpha}$  or concatenated). A difference of kernels need not be a kernel (**why?**)

#### Lemma (Space transformation)

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$  be sets, and consider any map  $s : \mathcal{X} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ . Let  $\tilde{k}$  be a kernel on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ . Then  $k(x, x') = \tilde{k}(s(x), s(x'))$  is a kernel on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof: if  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a feature map for  $\tilde{k}$ , then  $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ s$  is a feature map for k.

### New kernels from old: products

#### Lemma (Products of kernels are kernels)

Given  $k_1$  on  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $k_2$  on  $\mathcal{X}_2$ , then  $k_1 \times k_2$  is a kernel on  $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$ .

#### Proof.

Sketch for finite-dimensional spaces only. Assume  $\mathcal{H}_1$  corresponding to  $k_1$  is  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  corresponding to  $k_2$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define:

•  $k_1 := u^{\top} v$  for  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  (e.g.: kernel between two images)

•  $k_2 := p^\top q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (e.g.: kernel between two captions) Is the following a kernel?

$$K\left[(u,p);(v,q)\right]=k_1\times k_2$$

(e.g. kernel between one image-caption pair and another)

 $k_1 l$ 

### New kernels from old: products

#### Proof.

(continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{k}_2 &= (u^\top v) (q^\top p) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(u^\top v q^\top p) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(p u^\top v q^\top) \\ &= \langle A, B \rangle \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A := pu^{\top}$ ,  $B := qv^{\top}$  (features of image-caption pairs) Thus  $k_1k_2$  is a valid kernel, since inner product between  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is

 $\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(AB^{\top}).$ 

# Another way: just note that the Kronecker product of positive definite matrices is positive definite!

Department of Statistics, Oxford

### More products and Taylor expansions

Lemma (Products of kernels are kernels)

Given kernels  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  on  $\mathcal{X}$   $k_1 \times k_2$  is a kernel on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proof**: It is certainly a kernel on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ , so just consider space transformation  $s : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  with s(x) = (x, x).

Another way: just note that the **Hadamard product of positive definite** matrices is positive definite!

As a corollary:

$$k(x,x') = c + \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \langle x, x' \rangle^d$$
(1)

is certainly a kernel. Readily extends to

$$k(x, x') = g(\langle x, x' \rangle)$$
(2)

for an analytic function g with nonnegative Taylor coefficients, e.g., exp.

#### Gaussian RBF is a kernel

As a product of an exponential kernel and a kernel with 1-d feature  $x \mapsto \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2\gamma^2}\right)$ .

$$k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} ||x - x'||^2\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{||x||^2}{2\gamma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{||x'||^2}{2\gamma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \langle x, x' \rangle\right)$$

All of the proofs above are constructive: they give a way of constructing new features from old. But the resulting features quickly become very difficult to interpret. There is another, much cleaner way to do this: Mercer's Theorem.

#### Mercer's theorem

- Assume that X is a compact metric space, k : X × X → ℝ a continuous kernel and fix a finite measure ν on X with supp v = X.
- To k we can associate a certain operator T<sub>k</sub> on L<sub>2</sub>(X; ν) which is compact, positive and self-adjoint

$$[T_k f](y) = \int f(x)k(x, y)\nu(dx)$$

• There exist an orthonormal set of **continuous**  $L_2$  functions  $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  (strictly positive eigenvalues with  $\lambda_j \to 0$ ; *J* at most countable).

#### Theorem (Mercer's theorem)

 $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  with convergence uniform on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ :

$$k(x,y) = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j e_j(x) e_j(y).$$

#### Mercer's theorem

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j e_j(x) e_j(y)$$
  
=  $\left\langle \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_j} e_j(x) \right\}, \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_j} e_j(y) \right\} \right\rangle_{\ell^2(J)}$ 

Another (Mercer) feature map:

$$arphi : \mathcal{X} \to \ell^2(J)$$
  
 $arphi : x \mapsto \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_j} e_j(x) \right\}_{j \in J}$ 

#### Mercer's Theorem and Smoothness

What does  $||f||_{\mathcal{H}}$  have to do with smoothing? For the Gaussian kernel:

$$f(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r e_r(x), \qquad ||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_r^2}{\lambda_r}.$$

 $\lambda_r \sim B^r \to 0$ , as  $r \to \infty$  for  $B \in (0, 1)$  and  $e_r(x)$  are functions of increasing complexity as r increases (r zero-crossings) – related to r-th order **Hermite polynomials**. Figure from Rasmussen and Williams, 2006



Department of Statistics, Oxford

# **RKHS Embeddings of Distributions**

### Kernel Trick and Kernel Mean Trick

- implicit feature map  $x \mapsto k(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}_k$ replaces  $x \mapsto [\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_s(x)] \in \mathbb{R}^s$
- $\langle k(\cdot, x), k(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k} = k(x, y)$ inner products readily available
  - nonlinear decision boundaries, nonlinear regression functions, learning on non-Euclidean/structured data



[Cortes & Vapnik, 1995; Schölkopf & Smola, 2001]

### Kernel Trick and Kernel Mean Trick

- implicit feature map  $x \mapsto k(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}_k$ replaces  $x \mapsto [\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_s(x)] \in \mathbb{R}^s$
- $\langle k(\cdot, x), k(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k} = k(x, y)$ inner products readily available
  - nonlinear decision boundaries, nonlinear regression functions, learning on non-Euclidean/structured data

#### • RKHS embedding: implicit feature mean

[Smola et al, 2007; Sriperumbudur et al, 2010]  $P \mapsto \mu_k(P) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} k(\cdot, X) \in \mathcal{H}_k$ replaces  $P \mapsto [\mathbb{E} \varphi_1(X), \dots, \mathbb{E} \varphi_s(X)] \in \mathbb{R}^s$ 

#### • $\langle \mu_k(P), \mu_k(Q) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P, Y \sim Q} k(X, Y)$ inner products easy to estimate

 multiple instance learning / learning on distributions, nonparametric testing for homogeneity, independence, conditional independence, three-variable interaction



[Cortes & Vapnik, 1995; Schölkopf & Smola, 2001]



[Gretton et al, 2005; Gretton et al, 2006; Fukumizu et al, 2007; DS, Bergsma & Gretton, 2013; Szabo et al, 2015]

### Maximum Mean Discrepancy

 Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD) [Borgwardt et al, 2006; Gretton et al, 2007] between *P* and *O*:

0.6

0.2

0.0

-0.2

sup

 $|\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Y)|$ 

Loren ipsun dolo

sit anet, consects elit bibendum maleguada

nellentesme nibh ir em. Curabitur liquia Duis sit anet

arcu ultrices auctor nolestie

vulputate elit. blandit enim velit vitae nulla. Duis sed



$$\mathsf{MMD}_k(P, \underline{Q}) = \|\mu_k(P) - \mu_k(\underline{Q})\|_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k: \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_k} \le 1}$$

• Characteristic kernels: 
$$MMD_k(P, Q) = 0$$
  
iff  $P = Q$  (also metrizes weak\*  
[Sriperumbudur,2010]).

- Gaussian RBF  $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left\|x x'\right\|_2^2\right)$ , Matérn family, inverse multiquadrics.
- Can encode structural properties in the data: kernels on non-Euclidean domains, networks, images, text...

Department of Statistics, Oxford

### Two-sample testing on nonstandard domains



Figure by Arthur Gretton Average similarity within two samples vs average similarity across two samples. MMD has been applied to:

- independence tests on text data [Gretton et al, 2009]
- two-sample tests on graphs [Gretton et al, 2012]
- training generative neural networks for image data [Dziugaite, Roy and Ghahramani, 2015]
- two-sample tests on persistence diagrams in topological data analysis [Kwitt et al, 2015]
- similarity measure between observed and simulated data in ABC [Park, Jitkrittum and DS, 2015]

$$\mathsf{MMD}_{k}^{2}(P, Q) = \mathbb{E}_{X, X' \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} P} k(X, X') + \mathbb{E}_{Y, Y' \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Q} k(Y, Y') - 2\mathbb{E}_{X \sim P, Y \sim Q} k(X, Y).$$

### Kernel dependence measures: HSIC



cor vs. dcor



Figure by Arthur Gretton Department of Statistics, Oxford

- $HSIC^{2}(X, Y; \kappa) = \|\mu_{\kappa}(P_{XY}) \mu_{\kappa}(P_{X}P_{Y})\|^{2}_{\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}}$
- Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the feature-space cross-covariance [Gretton et al, 2009]
- dependence witness is a smooth function in the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$  of functions on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$



- Independence testing framework that generalises Distance Correlation (dcor) of [Szekely et al, 2007]: HSIC with Brownian motion kernels [DS et al, 2013]
- Extends to multivariate interaction and joint dependence measures [DS et al, 2013; Pfister et al, 2017]

#### Kernel dependence measures: HSIC (2)

$$k(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbf{K} =$$
  
 $\ell(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbf{L} =$ 

Hilbert-Schmidt Independence Criterion (**HSIC**): similarity between the kernel matrices  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \tilde{\mathbf{L}} \rangle = [\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}\tilde{\mathbf{L}})]$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{H}$ , and  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^{\top}$  is the centering matrix. [Gretton et al, 2008; Fukumizu et al, 2008; Song et al, 2012]

Department of Statistics, Oxford

### **Distribution Regression**

• supervised learning where labels are available at the group, rather than at the individual level.



Figure from Flaxman et al, 2015

Figure from Mooij et al, 2014

- classifying text based on word features [Yoshikawa et al, 2014; Kusner et al, 2015]
- aggregate voting behaviour of demographic groups [Flaxman et al, 2015; 2016]
- image labels based on a distribution of small patches [Szabo et al, 2016]
- "traditional" parametric statistical inference by learning a function from sets of samples to parameters: ABC [Mitrovic et al, 2016], EP [Jitkrittum et al, 2015]
- identify the cause-effect direction between a pair of variables from a joint sample [Lopez-Paz et al,2015]

### **Distribution Regression (2)**

- Multiple-Instance Learning: Input is a bag of B<sub>i</sub> vectors {x<sub>i1</sub>,...,x<sub>iB<sub>i</sub></sub>}, each x<sub>ia</sub> ∈ X assumed to arise from a probability distribution P<sub>i</sub> on X.
- Represent the *i*-th bag by the corresponding empirical kernel embedding  $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mu_k [\mathsf{P}_i] = \frac{1}{B_i} \sum_{a=1}^{B_i} k(\cdot, x_{ia})$  w.r.t. a kernel *k* on  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- Now treat the problem as having inputs m<sub>i</sub> ∈ H<sub>k</sub>: just need to define a kernel K on H<sub>k</sub>.

Linear: 
$$K(\mathfrak{m}_i,\mathfrak{m}_j) = \langle \mathfrak{m}_i,\mathfrak{m}_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \frac{1}{B_i B_j} \sum_{a=1}^{B_i} \sum_{b=1}^{B_j} k(x_{ia}, x_{jb})$$
  
Baussian:  $K(\mathfrak{m}_i,\mathfrak{m}_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \|\mathfrak{m}_i - \mathfrak{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2\right).$ 

Term  $\|\mathbf{m}_i - \mathbf{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2$  can be thought of as a distance between empirical measures corresponding to bags *i* and *j* (this is empirical Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD)).

#### Kernel Methods – Discussion

- Kernel methods allows for very flexible and powerful machine learning models.
- **Nonparametric** method: parameter space (e.g., normal vector *w* in SVM) can be infinite-dimensional
- Kernels can be defined over more complex structures than vectors, e.g. graphs, strings, images, bags of instances, probability distributions.
- In naïve implementation, computational cost is at least quadratic in the number of observations, often  $O(n^3)$  computation and  $O(n^2)$  memory, but there are various approximations with good scaling up properties.
- Further reading:
  - Schölkopf and Smola, Learning with Kernels, 2001.
  - Rasmussen and Williams, Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning, 2006.
  - Steinwart and Christmann, Support Vector Machines, 2008.
  - Berlinet and Thomas-Agnan, Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces in Probability and Statistics, 2004.
  - Bishop, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Chapter 6.